UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,970	08/01/2003	Daniel Lee Hamilton	23952-0108	3665
72386 SUTHERLANI	7590 10/16/200) II	EXAMINER		
	O, ASBILL & BRENN	ANDERSON, JOHN A		
999 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3696	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		10/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
			970	HAMILTON ET AL			
Office Action Summary		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		JOHN A	. ANDERSON	3696			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ad	ldress		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Management of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at adopt a term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	FHIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a re will expire SIX (6) MONI pplication to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed FHS from the mailing date of this of the capacity of the capaci			
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed. This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice.	ድb)⊡ This action is for allowance exceր	ot for formal matte	·	e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the	re withdrawn from c					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/27/2007;12/31/2007;02/2	·	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 			



Application No.

Art Unit: 3696

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement dated 12//27/2007 ;12/31/2007 and 02/24/2004 have been considered.

Response to Amendment

2. Claims 1-22 have been amended. Claims 23-27 have been added. Claims 1-27 are pending in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3,6-14,17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ganesan et al (PGPUB US: 2002/0087468).
- 5. As regards claims 1,12 and 23, Ganesan et al discloses a method for making a payment to a payee on behalf of a payor, comprising:

- receiving a payment request for a payment service provider to pay a payee on behalf of a payor;[0007]
- determining a plurality of risk reduction techniques available for fulfilling the received payment request based <u>at least in part on information included</u> in the received payment request;[0011]
- selecting one of the available risk reduction techniques to be used when
 processing the payment request based on at least one predetermined factor
 associated with each of the plurality of risk reduction techniques; [0014]
- <u>processing the payment request using effecting payment in accordance with the selected risk reduction technique.</u> [0016]
- 6. As regards claims 2 and 13, Ganesan et al discloses wherein each of the plurality of risk reduction techniques reduces a risk of financial loss to the payment service provider in processing the payment request on behalf of the payor. [0019]
- 7. As regards claims 3 and 14, Ganesan et al discloses wherein the plurality of risk reduction techniques includes at least one of i) determining, prior to directing funds to the payee in association with processing the payment request, that payor funds are available, ii) determining, prior to directing funds to the payee in association with processing the payment request, that an entity is available from which to collect funds if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association

Art Unit: 3696

with processing the payment request, iii) determining whether payment to the payee will be drawn on a deposit account associated with the payor, or drawn on a deposit account associated with an entity other than the payor, and iv) directing funds to the payee a predetermined period of time subsequent to initiating an electronic debiting of a deposit account associated with the payor. [0011]

- 8. As regards claims 6 and 17, Ganesan et al discloses wherein the selected risk reduction technique is the determining whether payment to the payee will be drawn on a deposit account associated with the payor, or drawn on a deposit account associated with an entity other than the payor, and further comprising:
 - determining at least one of i) if a monetary amount of the payment request is less than a predetermined first threshold, ii) if a total monetary amount of payments completed on behalf of the payor within a first predetermined time period is less than a predetermined second threshold, and iii) if a volume of payments completed on behalf of the payor within a second predetermined time period is less than a predetermined third threshold;[0024]
 - wherein, if it is determined that the monetary amount is less than the first threshold, that the total monetary amount is less than the second threshold, or that the volume is less than the third threshold, processing the payment request

Art Unit: 3696

includes issuing one of a check or an electronic funds transfer to the payee drawn on a deposit account associated with an entity other than the payor; [0091]

- wherein, if it is determined that the monetary amount is not less than the first
 threshold, that the total monetary amount is not less than the second threshold,
 or that the volume is not less than the third threshold, processing the payment
 request includes issuing a draft to the payee drawn on the payor deposit account.
 [0097]
- 9. As regards claims 7 and 18, Ganesan et al discloses, wherein the determination of each of the plurality of risk reduction techniques is based upon at least one of i) the identity of the payor, ii) the identity of the payee, iii) the identity of a financial institution at which the payor maintains a deposit account, iv) the identity of a consumer service provider with which the payor is associated, and v) a type of payment service offered by the payment service provider utilized by the payor.[0020]
- 10. As regards claims 8 and 19, Ganesan et al discloses, wherein each of the plurality of risk reduction techniques is associated with a level of protection against financial loss to the payment service provider for processing a payment request, and wherein selecting one of the available risk reduction techniques to be used when processing the payment request based on at least one

Application/Control Number: 10/631,970

Art Unit: 3696

predetermined factor associated with each of the plurality of risk reduction techniques includes selecting the risk reduction technique that provides a highest level of protection against financial loss to the payment service provider among the plurality of risk reduction techniques available for fulfilling the received payment request.[0075]

Page 6

- 11. As regards claims 9 and 20, Ganesan et al discloses wherein each of the plurality of risk reduction techniques is associated with a cost of processing a payment request, and wherein selecting one of the available risk reduction techniques to be used when processing the payment request based on at least one predetermined factor associated with each of the plurality of risk reduction techniques includes selecting the risk reduction technique that is associated with a least cost of processing the payment request among the plurality of risk reduction techniques for fulfilling the received payment request [0010];
- 12. As regards claims 10 and 21, Ganesan et al discloses wherein each of the plurality of risk reduction techniques is associated with a time period for processing a payment request, and wherein selecting one of the available risk reduction techniques to be used when processing the payment request based on at least one predetermined factor associated with each of the plurality of risk reduction techniques includes selecting the risk reduction technique that is

Art Unit: 3696

techniques associated with a shortest time period to complete payment to the payee among the plurality of risk reduction techniques for fulfilling the received payment request, [0097]

13. As regards claims 11 and 22, Ganesan et al discloses wherein each of the plurality of risk reduction techniques is associated with a priority wherein selecting one of the available risk reduction techniques to be used when processing the payment request based on at least one predetermined factor associated with each of the plurality of risk reduction techniques includes selecting the risk reduction technique that is associated with the highest priority among the plurality of risk reduction techniques for fulfilling the received payment request. [0080]-

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made

to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 15. Claims 4,5 15,16 24, 25, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ganesan et al (PGPUB US: 2002/0087468) and further in view of Hilt J et al (US Patent No.: 6,032,133).
- 16. As regards claims 4 and 15, Ganesan et al discloses—wherein the plurality of risk reduction techniques includes at least one of i) determining, prior to directing funds to the payee in association with processing the payment request, that payor funds are available, ii) determining, prior to directing funds to the payee in association with -processing the payment request, that an entity is available from which to collect funds if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with processing the payment request, iii) determining whether payment to the payee will be drawn on a deposit account associated with the payor, or drawn on a deposit account associated with an entity other than the payor, and iv) directing funds to the payee a predetermined period of time subsequent to initiating an electronic debiting of a deposit account associated with the payor. [0011]

Ganesan does not disclose wherein the selected risk reduction technique is the determining that payor funds are available, and further comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/631,970

Art Unit: 3696

receiving, from a financial institution at which at least one of a payor deposit
 account or a service provider deposit account is maintained, one of (i)
 confirmation that the payor deposit account has been successfully debited or (ii)
 confirmation that the service provider account has been successfully credited,

Page 9

- wherein the payment to the payee, drawn on the service provider account, is
 processed responsive to receiving the one of (i) confirmation that the payor
 deposit account has been successfully debited or (ii) confirmation that the service
 provider account has been successfully credited
 - Hilt discloses wherein the selected risk reduction technique is the determining that payor funds are available, and further comprising:
- receiving, from a financial institution at which at least one of a payor deposit
 account or a service provider deposit account is maintained, one of (i)
 confirmation that the payor deposit account has been successfully debited or (ii)
 confirmation that the service provider account has been successfully credited,
 [column 8 lines 40-50]
- wherein the payment to the payee, drawn on the service provider account, is
 processed responsive to receiving the one of (i) confirmation that the payor
 deposit account has been successfully debited or (ii) confirmation that the service
 provider account has been successfully credited [column 9 lines 31-41]
 It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of
 the invention was made to use. Hilt in the device of Ganesan. The motivation

Art Unit: 3696

would have been to be reassured that the transaction was successfully completed.

17. As regards claims 5 and 16, Ganesan et al discloses wherein the plurality of risk reduction techniques includes at least one of i) determining, prior to directing funds to the payee in association with processing the payment request, that payor funds are available, ii) determining, prior to directing funds to the payee in association with -processing the payment request, that an entity is available from which to collect funds if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with processing the payment request, iii) determining whether payment to the payee will be drawn on a deposit account associated with the payor, or drawn on a deposit account associated with an entity other than the payor, and iv) directing funds to the payee a predetermined period of time subsequent to initiating an electronic debiting of a deposit account associated with the payor. [0011]

Ganesan does not disclose wherein the selected risk reduction technique is the determining that an entity is available from which to collect funds if funds cannot

Art Unit: 3696

be collected from the payor in association with processing the payment request, and further comprising:

- identifying the entity from which to collect funds
- wherein the payment request is <u>processed</u> responsive to the identification of the entity;
- wherein the payment request is drawn on a deposit account associated with the payment service provider;
- wherein the identified entity is one of the payee and a consumer service provider with which the payor is associated.
 - Hilt discloses wherein the selected risk reduction technique is the determining that an entity is available from which to collect funds if funds cannot be collected from the payor in association with processing the payment request, and further comprising:
- identifying the entity from which to collect funds [column 2 lines 64-10]
- wherein the payment request is <u>processed</u> responsive to the identification of the entity; [column 3 lines 45-55]
- wherein the payment request is drawn on a deposit account associated with the payment service provider; [column 10 lines 6-13]
- wherein the identified entity is one of the payee and a consumer service provider
 with which the payor is associated. [column 10 lines 19-25]

Art Unit: 3696

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Hilt in the device of Ganesan. The motivation would have been to prevent fraudulent transactions that will result in financial losses.

18. As regards claims 24 and 26, Ganesan et al discloses <u>receiving</u>, from a <u>financial institution at which at least one of a payor deposit account or a service</u> <u>provider deposit account is maintained</u>, one of (i) confirmation that the payor <u>deposit account has been successfully debited or (ii) confirmation that the service</u> provider account has been successfully credited, [column 5 lines 35-45]

Ganesan et al does not disclose transmitting a confirmation request to the financial institution, wherein the one of (i) confirmation that the payor deposit account has been successfully debited or (ii) confirmation that the service provider account has been successfully credited is received responsive to transmitting the confirmation request.

Hilt discloses transmitting a confirmation request to the financial institution, wherein the one of (i) confirmation that the payor deposit account has been successfully debited or (ii) confirmation that the service provider account has been successfully credited is received responsive to transmitting the confirmation request. [0019 and 0059]

Art Unit: 3696

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use. Hilt in the device of Ganesan. The motivation would have been to reconcile the account after the transaction has been completed.

19. As regards claims 25 and 27, Ganesan et al discloses wherein the payment to the payee, drawn on the service provider account, is processed responsive to receiving the one of (i) confirmation that the payor deposit account has been successfully debited or (ii) confirmation that the service provider account has been successfully credited [column 22 lines 16-26]

Ganesan et al does not disclose transmitting an electronic funds transfer file to debit the payor deposit account and to credit the service provider account.

Hilt discloses transmitting an electronic funds transfer file to debit the payor deposit account and to credit the service provider account. [0019]

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Hilt in the device of Ganesan. The motivation would have been to effect the transaction such that both accounts belonging to the payor and payee reflect the most recent transaction.

Art Unit: 3696

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN A. ANDERSON whose telephone number

Art Unit: 3696

is (571)270-3327. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 5:00 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dixon can be reached on 571-272-6803. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John A Anderson/ John A Anderson

Examiner, Art Unit 3696 Examiner

Art Unit 3696

Art Unit: 3696

/J. A. A./

Examiner, Art Unit 3696 10/07/2008

/Daniel S Felten/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3696